



FIG. 2. Ratio of observed asymptotic ( $T_1 = T_\lambda$ ) power input to that calculated on the basis of several theories as a function of  $T_0$ ;  $d = 3.36 \mu$ . Curve a— $m = 3$ ,  $v_e = 0$ ,  $A$  as given by Vinen (4); curve b— $m = 3$ ,  $v_e$  as given by Dash (16),  $A$  as given by Vinen; curve c— $m = 3$ ,  $v_e = 0$ ,  $A = 50$  cm-sec/gm; curve d— $m = 4$ ,  $v_e = 0$ ,  $A = 50$  cm-sec/gm.

to determine a few selected values of  $A$  in the region  $1.7^\circ$ – $2.0^\circ$ K for large  $\bar{q}$  where neither of these objections applies. We have not been able to solve the nonlinear integral equation (26) directly for  $\bar{q}$ , but instead we have used a variance method pointed out to use by Dr. R. B. Lazarus.

We consider

$$\bar{q}(\lambda, T) = \frac{d^2}{L} \int_{T_0}^T \frac{\Lambda}{1 + \lambda\delta} d\tau \quad (44)$$

where  $\delta \equiv \alpha d^2 \bar{q}^2$ ,  $\lambda \equiv \alpha'/\alpha$  is a factor relating  $\alpha$  (determined from Vinen's  $A(T)$ ) and  $\alpha'$  (the new value of  $\alpha$  to be determined from the present experiments);  $\tau$  is a dummy variable. Holding  $\bar{q}$  fixed and varying  $\lambda$  we obtain

$$0 = \frac{d^2}{L} \left[ \frac{\Lambda}{1 + \lambda\delta} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \lambda} \right)_{\bar{q}} - \int_{T_0}^T \frac{\Lambda\delta}{(1 + \lambda\delta)^2} d\tau \right]; \quad (45)$$

and holding  $\lambda$  fixed and varying  $\bar{q}$

$$\left( \frac{\partial \bar{q}}{\partial T} \right)_\lambda = \frac{d^2}{L} \left[ \frac{\Lambda}{1 + \lambda\delta} - \int_{T_0}^T \frac{2\lambda\Lambda\delta}{\bar{q}(1 + \lambda\delta)^2} d\tau \left( \frac{\partial \bar{q}}{\partial T} \right)_\lambda \right]. \quad (46)$$

Combining (45) and (46) we find

$$\left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \lambda} \right)_{\bar{q}} = \frac{\bar{q}}{2\lambda} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \bar{q}} \right)_\lambda - \frac{(1 + \lambda\delta)L}{\Lambda d^2} \right] \quad (47)$$